

# Numerical Differential Equations

## Homework 3

(Due: Jul. 26, 2007)

Consider the initial value problem

$$y' = -\mu(y - t^2) + 2t, \quad 0 < t < T, \quad y(0) = y_0.$$

Recall that the exact solution is

$$y(t) = y_0 e^{-\mu t} + t^2.$$

Set  $\mu = 520$ ,  $T = 2$  and  $y_0 = 4$ .

A For this IVP, write (and present) three short MATLAB scripts implementing the following fixed step-size methods:

- the forward Euler method;
- the backward Euler method;
- the trapezoidal rule;

Note that because the right-hand side of the ODE is linear in  $y$ , you do not need to solve a nonlinear equation to obtain  $y_{n+1}$  from  $y_n$  in the two implicit schemes. Use this fact in your codes.

B Stability The "test equation" for the above ODE is

$$y' = -\mu y.$$

The results about the regions of absolute stability should give you an indication what the needed step-size to achieve absolute stability is for each of the above methods. Use your MATLAB codes with various time steps (e.g., of the form  $2^{-k}$ ) to see whether the results of your numerical experiments correspond to the theory. Present your results in a graphical form. Plot the exact and the numerical solution in the same picture. Also plot the global error  $e_n$  or its absolute value. Discuss the results.

As part of this problem, run your methods for the same equation on the interval  $[a, b] = [1, 2]$  with the exact initial condition  $y_0 = y(1) = 4e^{-520} + 1$ . You should see that even though on this interval  $[a, b]$  the solution is essentially  $t^2$ , the forward Euler method still requires a small step-size to get reasonable results. What about the other methods?

(As an illustrative example, you may want to change your codes so that they solve the equation  $y' = 2t$  on the interval  $[1, 2]$  with  $y_0 = 1$ . Observe that in this case the numerical methods do not exhibit any of the instability features.)

C Order of Convergence

For each of the four methods, establish numerically the order of convergence by computing the maximum of the global errors  $|e^n|$  and observing its behavior as  $h$  converges to 0. Do the results correspond to the theory? Present the results in a graphical form or in a table.

For the trapezoidal rule, you should notice that in most of the interval  $[0, 2]$  the global error is almost negligible. What is the order of the error? Can you explain why?