



Moduli of Vector Bundles on Curves in Positive Characteristics

KIRTI JOSHI and EUGENE Z. XIA

Department of Mathematics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, U.S.A.
e-mail: {kirti, exia}@math.arizona.edu

(Received: 7 October 1998; in final form: 18 December 1998)

Abstract. Let X be a projective curve of genus 2 over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2. The Frobenius map on X induces a rational map on the moduli scheme of rank-2 bundles. We show that up to isomorphism, there is only one (up to tensoring by an order two line bundle) semi-stable vector bundle of rank 2 (with determinant equal to a theta characteristic) whose Frobenius pull-back is not semi-stable. The indeterminacy of the Frobenius map at this point can be resolved by introducing Higgs bundles.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2000): 14D20, 14H60.

Key words: algebraic curves, Frobenius morphism, moduli schemes, vector bundles.

1. Introduction and Results

Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus 2 over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Let Ω be its canonical bundle. Define the (absolute) Frobenius morphism [3, 4] $F: X \rightarrow X$ which maps local sections $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$ to f^p . As X is smooth, F is a (finite) flat map.

Let J^0, J^1 be the moduli schemes of isomorphism classes of line bundles of degree 0 and 1, respectively. Choose a theta characteristic $L_\theta \in J^1$. Denote by S_O (resp. S_θ) the moduli scheme of S-equivalence classes of semi-stable vector bundles of rank 2 and determinant \mathcal{O}_X (resp. L_θ) on X [8]. We study the Frobenius pull-backs of the bundles in S_O and S_θ . The geometry of S_θ has been studied extensively by Bhosle [1].

The operation of Frobenius pull-back has a tendency to destabilize bundles [9]. In particular, the map $V \mapsto F^*(V)$ is rational on the moduli scheme.

The Frobenius destabilizes only finite many bundles in S_O (see Theorem 3.2). For any $V \in S_O$, Proposition 3.3 gives a necessary and sufficient criterion for $F^*(V)$ to be non-semi-stable in terms of theta characteristic.

For a given vector bundle V on X , let

$$J_2(V) = \{V \otimes L : L \in J^0, L^2 = \mathcal{O}_X\}$$

THEOREM 1.1 *Suppose $p = 2$. Then there exists a bundle $V_1 \in \text{Ext}^1(L_\theta, \mathcal{O}_X)$ such that if $V \in S_\theta \setminus J_2(V_1)$, then $F^*(V)$ is semi-stable. Hence, the Frobenius map induces a map $\Omega^{-1} \otimes F^* : S_\theta \setminus J_2(V_1) \rightarrow S_O$.*

We show that there is a natural way of resolving the indeterminacy of the Frobenius map at the points in $J_2(V_1)$, by replacing S_O, S_θ with moduli schemes of suitable Higgs bundles. Denote by $S_O(\Omega)$ (resp. $S_\theta(L_\theta)$) the moduli scheme of semi-stable Higgs bundles with associated line bundle \mathcal{O}_X (resp. L_θ) [7]. For any Higgs bundle on X , one may also consider its Frobenius pull-back.

THEOREM 1.2. *Suppose $p = 2$.*

- (1) *If $(V, \phi) \in S_\theta(L_\theta)$, then either $V \in S_\theta$ or $V \in J_2(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus L_\theta)$.*
- (2) *There exist Higgs fields ϕ_0 and ϕ_1 such that $(F^*(W), F^*(\phi_0))$ and $(F^*(V), F^*(\phi_1))$ are semi-stable for all $W \in J_2(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus L_\theta)$ and $V \in J_2(V_1)$.*

Hence, the Frobenius defines a map on a Zariski open set $U \subset S_\theta(L_\theta)$

$$\Omega^{-1} \otimes F^* : U \rightarrow S_O(\mathcal{O}_X),$$

where U contains the scheme $S_\theta \setminus J_2(V_1)$ and the points $(W, \phi_0), (V, \phi_1)$ for any $W \in J_2(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus L_\theta)$ and $V \in J_2(V_1)$.

Cartier’s theorem gives a criterion for descent under Frobenius [3]. Higgs bundles appear naturally in characteristic $p > 0$ context. To see this, let (V, ∇) be a vector bundle with a (flat) connection, $\nabla : V \rightarrow \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} V$. One associates to the pair (V, ∇) its p -curvature which is a homomorphism of \mathcal{O}_X -modules [3, 4]: $\psi : V \rightarrow F^*(\Omega) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} V$. Thus the pair (V, ∇) gives a Higgs bundle with associated line bundle $F^*(\Omega)$.

2. Bundle Extensions and the Frobenius Morphism

Suppose L is a line bundle on X . Then $F^*(L) = L^p$. The push-forward, $F_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$, is a vector bundle of rank p and one has the exact sequence of vector bundles [9]

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F_*(\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring the sequence with a line bundle L and using the projection formula, we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow F_*(L^p) \rightarrow B_1 \otimes L \rightarrow 0.$$

The associated long cohomology sequence is

$$\dots \rightarrow H^0(B_1 \otimes L) \rightarrow H^1(L)f_L \rightarrow H^1(F_*(L^p)) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since F is an affine morphism, the Leray spectral sequence for F degenerates at E_2 . Hence $H^i(F_*(L^p)) \cong H^i(L^p)$. Substituting this into the long exact sequence, one obtains

$$\dots \longrightarrow H^0(B_1 \otimes L) \longrightarrow H^1(L) \xrightarrow{f^L} H^1(L^p) \longrightarrow \dots \quad (1)$$

Suppose $V \in \text{Ext}^1(L_2, L_1) \cong H^1(L_2^{-1} \otimes L_1)$, i.e.

$$0 \longrightarrow L_1 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow L_2 \longrightarrow 0,$$

where L_1, L_2 are line bundles. Since F is a flat morphism, we have

$$0 \longrightarrow F^*(L_1) \longrightarrow F^*(V) \longrightarrow F^*(L_2) \longrightarrow 0.$$

This gives a map

$$F^* : \text{Ext}^1(L_2, L_1) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(F^*(L_2), F^*(L_1)) \cong \text{Ext}^1(L_2^p, L_1^p).$$

Take $L = L_2^{-1} \otimes L_1$ in (1).

PROPOSITION 2.1. $F^*(V) = L_1^p \oplus L_2^p$ if and only if V is in the image of the connecting homomorphism $H^0(B_1 \otimes L_2^{-1} \otimes L_1) \longrightarrow H^1(L_2^{-1} \otimes L_1)$.

Proof. Since the functors $\Gamma(X, \cdot)$ and $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, \cdot)$ are equivalent, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(L_2^{-1} \otimes L_1) & \xrightarrow{f_{L_2^{-1} \otimes L_1}} & H^1(L_2^{-p} \otimes L_1^p) \\ \downarrow \text{id}_V & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ \text{Ext}^1(L_2, L_1) & \xrightarrow{F^*} & \text{Ext}^1(L_2^p, L_1^p) \end{array}$$

commutes. Now the proposition follows directly from the long exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow H^0(B_1 \otimes L_2^{-1} \otimes L_1) \longrightarrow H^1(L_2^{-1} \otimes L_1) \longrightarrow H^1(L_2^{-p} \otimes L_1^p) \longrightarrow \dots \quad \square$$

3. The Moduli of Semi-Stable Vector and Higgs Bundles

Suppose V is a vector bundle on X . The slope of V is defined as

$$\mu(V) = \text{deg}(V)/\text{rank}(V).$$

A vector bundle V is semi-stable (resp. stable) if for every proper subbundle W of V , $\mu(W) \leq \mu(V)$ (resp. $\mu(W) < \mu(V)$). The schemes $S_{\mathcal{O}}$ and S_{θ} are defined to be the moduli schemes of all S -equivalence classes [8] of rank 2 semi-stable vector bundles with determinant equal to \mathcal{O}_X and L_{θ} , respectively.

A Higgs bundle (V, ϕ) with an associated line bundle L on X consists of a vector bundle V and a Higgs field which is a morphism of bundles $\phi : V \longrightarrow V \otimes L$. Frobenius pulls back Higgs fields $F^*(V) \xrightarrow{F^*(\phi)} F^*(V) \otimes F^*(L)$, hence, pulls back Higgs bundles.

A Higgs bundle (V, ϕ) is said to be semi-stable (resp. stable) if for every proper subbundle W of V , satisfying $\phi(W) \subset W \otimes L$, one has $\mu(W) \leq \mu(V)$ (resp. $\mu(W) < \mu(V)$). The scheme $S_O(\Omega)$ (resp. $S_\theta(L_\theta)$) is defined to be the moduli scheme of all S -equivalence classes of rank 2 semi-stable Higgs bundles on X with determinant O_X (resp. L_θ) and with associated line bundle Ω (resp. L_θ) [7].

Let

$$K = \{V \in S_O : V \text{ is semi-stable but not stable}\}.$$

Suppose $V \in K$. Then there exists $L \in J^0$ such that

$$0 \longrightarrow L^{-1} \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0.$$

The pull-back of V by Frobenius then fits into the following sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L^{-p} \xrightarrow{f_1} F^*(V) \xrightarrow{f_2} L^p \longrightarrow 0.$$

PROPOSITION 3.1 $F^* : K \rightarrow K$ is a well-defined morphism.

Proof. Let $H \subset V$ be a subbundle of maximum degree. If $f_2|_H = 0$, then $H = L^{-p}$ and $\deg(H) = \deg(L^{-p}) = 0$. If $f_2|_H \neq 0$, then $\deg(H) \leq \deg(L^p) = 0$. \square

In general, $F^*(V)$ may not be semi-stable. For example, a theorem of Raynaud states that the bundle B_1 is always semi-stable while $F^*(B_1)$ is never semi-stable for all $p > 2$ [9]. The following theorem was communicated to Joshi by V. B. Mehta:

THEOREM 3.2. *Let X be a curve of genus 2 over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 2$. Then there exists a finite set S , such that $F^*(V)$ is semi-stable for all $V \in S_O \setminus S$. In other words, F^* induces a morphism $F^* : S_O \setminus S \rightarrow S_O$.*

Proof. By a theorem of Narasimhan–Ramanan, when $p = 0$ [6], $S_O \cong \mathbb{P}^3$. Moreover, as was remarked to one of us by Ramanan, the proof given there works in all characteristic $p \neq 2$. The Frobenius morphism is defined on a nonempty Zariski open set U in $S_O \cong \mathbb{P}^3$. By Proposition 3.1, U contains K which is an ample divisor in \mathbb{P}^3 . Therefore $S_O \setminus U$ is of co-dimension 3, hence, is a finite set. Note that K can also be identified with the Kummer surface of J^0 in \mathbb{P}^3 [6]. \square

When X is ordinary, F^* is étale on a nonempty Zariski open set of S_O [5]. Although unable to identify explicitly this finite set upon which the Frobenius is not defined, we provide the following criterion.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let X be a curve of genus 2 over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose $V \in S_O$. Then $F^*(V)$ is not semi-stable if and only if $F^*(V)$ is an extension*

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F^*(V) \longrightarrow M^{-1} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $M \in J_2(L_\theta)$.

Proof. One direction is clear. We use inseparable descent to prove the other direction. Suppose $F^*(V)$ is not semi-stable. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F^*(V) \longrightarrow M^{-1} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\deg(M) > 0$.

Following [3], consider the natural connection on $F^*(V)$ with zero p -curvature. Then the second fundamental form of this connection is a morphism

$$T_X \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(M, M^{-1}) = M^{-2}.$$

As V is semi-stable, this morphism must not be the zero morphism. In other words, $M^{-2} \otimes \Omega$ has a nonzero section. Since $\deg(M) > 0$ and $\deg(\Omega) = 2$, we must have $\Omega = M^2$. Hence $M \in J_2(L_\theta)$. \square

4. The Moduli Spaces in Characteristic 2

In this section, we assume $p = 2$. Then B_1 is a line bundle and equal to a theta characteristic [9]. Choose L_θ to be B_1 .

4.1. THE MODULI OF SEMI-STABLE BUNDLES

Suppose $V \in S_\theta$. By a theorem in [6], there exist $L_1 \in J^0, L_2 \in J^1$ with $L_1 \otimes L_2 = L_\theta$ such that $V \in \text{Ext}^1(L_2, L_1)$. Since $L_\theta = B_1$, $h^0(B_1 \otimes L_2^{-1} \otimes L_1)$ is 1 if $L_\theta = L_2 \otimes L_1^{-1}$ and 0 otherwise. Hence, by Proposition 2.1, there is a unique (up to a scalar) V_1 not isomorphic to $O_X \oplus L_\theta$ and

$$0 \longrightarrow O_X \longrightarrow V_1 \longrightarrow L_\theta \longrightarrow 0$$

such that $F^*(V_1) = O_X \oplus \Omega$. It is immediate that V_1 is stable [6].

Suppose $V \notin J_2(V_1)$. Then by Proposition 2.1,

$$F^*(V) \neq F^*(L_1) \oplus F^*(L_2) = L_1^2 \oplus L_2^2.$$

If $M \subset F^*(V)$ is a destabilizing subbundle, i.e. $\deg(M) \geq 2$, then $M^{-1} \otimes L_2^2$ has a global section implying $\deg(M) \leq \deg(L_2^2) = 2$. Moreover, if $\deg(M) = 2$, then $M = L_2^2$ implying that $F^*(V)$ contains L_2^2 as a subbundle. Then the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L_1^2 \longrightarrow F^*(V) \longrightarrow L_2^2 \longrightarrow 0,$$

splits. This is a contradiction. This proves Theorem 1.1.

4.2. RESTORING FROBENIUS STABILITY: HIGGS BUNDLES

The scheme S_θ embeds in $S_\theta(L_\theta)$ by the map $V \mapsto (V, 0)$. If $(V, \phi) \in S_\theta(L_\theta)$ and V is not semi-stable, then V is an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow L_1 \longrightarrow V \xrightarrow{f} L_2 \longrightarrow 0, \quad (2)$$

where $\deg(L_1) \geq 1 > \deg(L_2)$. Moreover, $\phi(L_1)$ is not contained in $L_1 \otimes L_\theta$ (otherwise $\phi(L_1) \subset L_1 \otimes L_\theta$ implying (V, ϕ) is not semi-stable). This implies that there exists a line bundle $H \subset V$ such that $H \neq L_1$ and $\phi(L_1) \subset H \otimes L_\theta$. Then

$$\deg(L_1) \leq \deg(H) + \deg(L_\theta).$$

Since $L_1 \neq H$, $0 \neq f(H) \subset L_2$ implies that $\deg(H) \leq \deg(L_2)$. To summarize, we have the following inequalities:

$$\deg(L_2) + \deg(L_\theta) \geq \deg(H) + \deg(L_\theta) \geq \deg(L_1) > \deg(L_2).$$

Since $\deg(L_\theta) = 1$, $\deg(L_1) = \deg(H) + 1 = \deg(L_2) + 1 = 1$. The degree of H is thus zero implying that $f(H) = L_2$, so the exact sequence (2) splits. In addition, since $0 \neq \phi(L_1) \subset H \otimes L_\theta$, $\phi|_{L_1}$ must be a nonzero constant morphism and $L_1 = L_2 \otimes L_\theta$. Since $L_1 \otimes L_2 = L_\theta$, $V \in J_2(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus L_\theta)$. This proves the first part of Theorem 1.2.

Suppose $(V, \phi) \in \mathcal{S}_\theta(L_\theta)$. If $V \in \mathcal{S}_\theta \setminus J_2(V_1)$, then $F^*(V)$ is semi-stable by Theorem 1.1; hence, $(F^*(V), F^*(\phi))$ is semi-stable.

The split case: Suppose $W = L \oplus (L \otimes L_\theta)$, where $L \in J_2(\mathcal{O}_X)$.

We take the Higgs field ϕ_0 to be the identity map:

$$1 = \phi_0: L \otimes L_\theta \longrightarrow L \otimes L_\theta.$$

If $M \subset W$, then either $M = L \otimes L_\theta$ or $\mu(M) < \mu(W)$. Since $L \otimes L_\theta$ is not ϕ_0 -invariant, (W, ϕ_0) is stable. The Frobenius pull-back $F^*(\phi_0)$ is again a constant map $F^*(\phi_0): \Omega \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \Omega$. Now if $N \subset \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \Omega$, then either $N = \Omega$ or $\mu(N) < \mu(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \Omega)$. Since Ω is not $F^*(\phi_0)$ -invariant, $(F^*(W), F^*(\phi_0))$ is stable.

The non-split case: Suppose $V = L \otimes V_1$, where $L \in J_2(\mathcal{O}_X)$.

The bundle V is a nontrivial extension:

$$0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{f_1} V \xrightarrow{f_2} L \otimes L_\theta \longrightarrow 0. \quad (3)$$

Tensoring the sequence with L_θ gives

$$0 \longrightarrow L \otimes L_\theta \xrightarrow{g_1} V \otimes L_\theta \xrightarrow{g_2} L \otimes \Omega \longrightarrow 0. \quad (4)$$

Set

$$\phi_1 = g_1 \circ \phi_0 \circ f_2: V \longrightarrow L_\theta \otimes V.$$

The Frobenius pull-back decomposes V : $F^*(V) = \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \Omega$. Pulling back the exact

sequences (3) and (4) by Frobenius gives

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{F^*(f_1)} \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \Omega \xrightarrow{F^*(f_2)} \Omega \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \Omega \xrightarrow{F^*(g_1)} (\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \Omega) \otimes \Omega \xrightarrow{F^*(g_2)} \Omega \otimes \Omega \longrightarrow 0$$

Suppose $N \subset \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \Omega$. Then either $N = \Omega$ or $\mu(N) < \mu(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \Omega)$. The Frobenius pull-back of ϕ_1 is a composition:

$$F^*(\phi_1) = F^*(g_1) \circ F^*(\phi_0) \circ F^*(f_2).$$

Since the map $F^*(f_2)$ is surjective, the restriction map $F^*(f_2)|_\Omega$ is an isomorphism. The map ϕ_0 is an isomorphism and g_1 is injective; hence, $g_1 \circ \phi_0$ is injective. This implies $F^*(g_1) \circ F^*(\phi_0)$ is injective. Therefore $F^*(\phi_1)|_\Omega$ is injective. Since $\deg(\Omega) < \deg(\Omega \otimes \Omega)$, $F^*(\phi_1)|_\Omega$ being injective implies

$$F^*(\phi_1)(\Omega) \not\subset \Omega \otimes \Omega \subset (\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \Omega) \otimes \Omega.$$

In other words, $\Omega \subset \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \Omega$ is not $F^*(\phi_1)$ -invariant. Hence $(F^*(V), F^*(\phi_1))$ is stable. This proves Theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgements

We thank Professor Usha Bhosle for reading a previous version and for her comments and suggestions for improvement. We thank Professors Minhyong Kim, N. Mohan Kumar, V. B. Mehta and S. Ramanan for insightful discussions and comments. Finally, we thank the referee for his or her comments.

References

1. Bhosle, U.: Pencils of quadrics and hyperelliptic curves in characteristic two, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **407** (1990), 75–98.
2. Hitchin N.: The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **55** (1987), 59–126.
3. Katz, N. M.: Nilpotent connections and the monodromy theorem; application of a result of Turrittin, *Publ. Math. IHES* **39** (1970), 355–412.
4. Katz, N. M.: Algebraic solutions of differential equations (p -curvature and the Hodge filtration), *Invent. Math.* **18** (1972), 1–118.
5. Mehta, V. B. and Subramanian, S.: NEF line bundles which are not ample, *Math. Z.* **219**(2) (1995), 235–244.
6. Narasimhan, M. S. and Ramanan, S.: Moduli of vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface, *Ann. of Math.* **89** (1969), 14–51.
7. Nitsure, N.: Moduli space of semistable pairs on a curve, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **62** (1991), 275–300.
8. Seshadri, C. S.: Space of unitary vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface, *Ann. of Math.* **85** (1967), 303–336.
9. Raynaud, M.: Sections des fibrés vectoriels sur une courbe, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **110** (1982), 103–125.