

Advanced Calculus (I)

WEN-CHING LIEN

Department of Mathematics
National Cheng Kung University

1.3 The Completeness Axiom

Definition

Let $E \subset \mathbf{R}$ be nonempty

(i) The set E is said to be *bounded above* if and only if there is an $M \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $a \leq M$ for all $a \in E$

(ii) A number M is called an *upper bound* of the set E if and only if $a \leq M$ for all $a \in E$

(iii) A number s is called *supremum* of the set E if and only if s is an upper bound of E and $s \leq M$ for all upper bounds M of E . (In this case we shall say that E *has a supremum* s and shall write $s = \sup E$.)

1.3 The Completeness Axiom

Definition

Let $E \subset \mathbf{R}$ be nonempty

(i) The set E is said to be *bounded above* if and only if there is an $M \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $a \leq M$ for all $a \in E$

(ii) A number M is called an *upper bound* of the set E if and only if $a \leq M$ for all $a \in E$

(iii) A number s is called *supremum* of the set E if and only if s is an upper bound of E and $s \leq M$ for all upper bounds M of E . (In this case we shall say that E *has a supremum* s and shall write $s = \sup E$.)

1.3 The Completeness Axiom

Definition

Let $E \subset \mathbf{R}$ be nonempty

(i) The set E is said to be *bounded above* if and only if there is an $M \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $a \leq M$ for all $a \in E$

(ii) A number M is called an *upper bound* of the set E if and only if $a \leq M$ for all $a \in E$

(iii) A number s is called *supremum* of the set E if and only if s is an upper bound of E and $s \leq M$ for all upper bounds M of E . (In this case we shall say that E *has a supremum* s and shall write $s = \sup E$.)

1.3 The Completeness Axiom

Definition

Let $E \subset \mathbf{R}$ be nonempty

(i) The set E is said to be *bounded above* if and only if there is an $M \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $a \leq M$ for all $a \in E$

(ii) A number M is called an *upper bound* of the set E if and only if $a \leq M$ for all $a \in E$

(iii) A number s is called *supremum* of the set E if and only if s is an upper bound of E and $s \leq M$ for all upper bounds M of E . (In this case we shall say that E *has a supremum* s and shall write $s = \sup E$.)

1.3 The Completeness Axiom

Definition

Let $E \subset \mathbf{R}$ be nonempty

(i) The set E is said to be *bounded above* if and only if there is an $M \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $a \leq M$ for all $a \in E$

(ii) A number M is called an *upper bound* of the set E if and only if $a \leq M$ for all $a \in E$

(iii) A number s is called *supremum* of the set E if and only if s is an upper bound of E and $s \leq M$ for all upper bounds M of E . (In this case we shall say that E *has a supremum* s and shall write $s = \sup E$.)

Remark:

If a set has a supremum, then it has only one supremum.

Remark:

If a set has a supremum, then it has only one supremum.

Proof:

Let s_1 and s_2 be suprema of the same set E . Then both s_1 and s_2 are upper bounds of E , whence by Definition 1.16(iii), $s_1 \leq s_2$ and $s_2 \leq s_1$. We conclude by the TrichotomyProperty that $s_1 = s_2$ \square

Proof:

Let s_1 and s_2 be suprema of the same set E . Then both s_1 and s_2 are upper bounds of E , whence by Definition 1.16(iii), $s_1 \leq s_2$ and $s_2 \leq s_1$. We conclude by the TrichotomyProperty that $s_1 = s_2$ \square

Proof:

Let s_1 and s_2 be suprema of the same set E . Then both s_1 and s_2 are upper bounds of E , whence by Definition 1.16(iii), $s_1 \leq s_2$ and $s_2 \leq s_1$. We conclude by the TrichotomyProperty that $s_1 = s_2$ \square

Proof:

Let s_1 and s_2 be suprema of the same set E . Then both s_1 and s_2 are upper bounds of E , whence by Definition 1.16(iii), $s_1 \leq s_2$ and $s_2 \leq s_1$. We conclude by the TrichotomyProperty that $s_1 = s_2$ \square

Proof:

Let s_1 and s_2 be suprema of the same set E . Then both s_1 and s_2 are upper bounds of E , whence by Definition 1.16(iii), $s_1 \leq s_2$ and $s_2 \leq s_1$. We conclude by the TrichotomyProperty that $s_1 = s_2$ \square

Proof:

Let s_1 and s_2 be suprema of the same set E . Then both s_1 and s_2 are upper bounds of E , whence by Definition 1.16(iii), $s_1 \leq s_2$ and $s_2 \leq s_1$. We conclude by the TrichotomyProperty that $s_1 = s_2$ \square

Theorem (Approximation Property For Suprema)

If E has a supremum and $\epsilon > 0$ is any positive number, then there is a point $a \in E$ such that

$$\sup E - \epsilon < a \leq \sup E$$

Theorem (Approximation Property For Suprema)

If E has a supremum and $\epsilon > 0$ is any positive number, then there is a point $a \in E$ such that

$$\sup E - \epsilon < a \leq \sup E$$

Postulate 4:[Completeness Axiom]

If E is a nonempty subset of \mathbf{R} that is bounded above, then E has a (finite) supremum.

Postulate 4:[Completeness Axiom]

If E is a nonempty subset of \mathbf{R} that is bounded above, then E has a (finite) supremum.

Theorem (Archimedean Principle)

Given positive real numbers a and b , there is an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b < na$.

Theorem (Archimedean Principle)

Given positive real numbers a and b , there is an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b < na$.

Theorem (Density of Rationals)

If $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ satisfy $a < b$, then there is a $q \in \mathbf{Q}$ such that $a < q < b$.

Theorem (Density of Rationals)

If $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ satisfy $a < b$, then there is a $q \in \mathbf{Q}$ such that $a < q < b$.

Definition

Let $E \subset \mathbf{R}$ be nonempty

(i) The set E is said to be *bounded below* if and only if there is an $m \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $a \geq m$ for all $a \in E$

(ii) A number m is called an *lower bound* of the set E if and only if $a \geq m$ for all $a \in E$

(iii) A number t is called *infimum* of the set E if and only if t is an lower bound of E and $t \leq m$ for all lower bounds m of E . (In this case we shall say that E has an *infimum* t and shall write $t = \inf E$.)

(iv) E is said to be *bounded* if and only if it is bounded above and below.

Definition

Let $E \subset \mathbf{R}$ be nonempty

(i) The set E is said to be *bounded below* if and only if there is an $m \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $a \geq m$ for all $a \in E$

(ii) A number m is called an *lower bound* of the set E if and only if $a \geq m$ for all $a \in E$

(iii) A number t is called *infimum* of the set E if and only if t is an lower bound of E and $t \leq m$ for all lower bounds m of E . (In this case we shall say that E has an *infimum* t and shall write $t = \inf E$.)

(iv) E is said to be *bounded* if and only if it is bounded above and below.

Definition

Let $E \subset \mathbf{R}$ be nonempty

(i) The set E is said to be *bounded below* if and only if there is an $m \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $a \geq m$ for all $a \in E$

(ii) A number m is called an *lower bound* of the set E if and only if $a \geq m$ for all $a \in E$

(iii) A number t is called *infimum* of the set E if and only if t is an lower bound of E and $t \leq m$ for all lower bounds m of E . (In this case we shall say that E has an *infimum* t and shall write $t = \inf E$.)

(iv) E is said to be *bounded* if and only if it is bounded above and below.

Definition

Let $E \subset \mathbf{R}$ be nonempty

(i) The set E is said to be *bounded below* if and only if there is an $m \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $a \geq m$ for all $a \in E$

(ii) A number m is called an *lower bound* of the set E if and only if $a \geq m$ for all $a \in E$

(iii) A number t is called *infimum* of the set E if and only if t is an lower bound of E and $t \leq m$ for all lower bounds m of E . (In this case we shall say that E has an *infimum* t and shall write $t = \inf E$.)

(iv) E is said to be *bounded* if and only if it is bounded above and below.

Definition

Let $E \subset \mathbf{R}$ be nonempty

(i) The set E is said to be *bounded below* if and only if there is an $m \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $a \geq m$ for all $a \in E$

(ii) A number m is called an *lower bound* of the set E if and only if $a \geq m$ for all $a \in E$

(iii) A number t is called *infimum* of the set E if and only if t is an lower bound of E and $t \leq m$ for all lower bounds m of E . (In this case we shall say that E has an *infimum* t and shall write $t = \inf E$.)

(iv) E is said to be *bounded* if and only if it is bounded above and below.

Definition

Let $E \subset \mathbf{R}$ be nonempty

(i) The set E is said to be *bounded below* if and only if there is an $m \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $a \geq m$ for all $a \in E$

(ii) A number m is called an *lower bound* of the set E if and only if $a \geq m$ for all $a \in E$

(iii) A number t is called *infimum* of the set E if and only if t is an lower bound of E and $t \leq m$ for all lower bounds m of E . (In this case we shall say that E has an *infimum* t and shall write $t = \inf E$.)

(iv) E is said to be *bounded* if and only if it is bounded above and below.

Definition

Let $E \subset \mathbf{R}$ be nonempty

(i) The set E is said to be *bounded below* if and only if there is an $m \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $a \geq m$ for all $a \in E$

(ii) A number m is called an *lower bound* of the set E if and only if $a \geq m$ for all $a \in E$

(iii) A number t is called *infimum* of the set E if and only if t is an lower bound of E and $t \leq m$ for all lower bounds m of E . (In this case we shall say that E has an *infimum* t and shall write $t = \inf E$.)

(iv) E is said to be *bounded* if and only if it is bounded above and below.

Theorem

Let $E \subset \mathbf{R}$ be nonempty

(i) E has a supremum if and only if $-E$ has an infimum, in which case

$$\inf(-E) = -\sup E$$

(ii) E has an infimum if and only if $-E$ has a supremum, in which case

$$\sup(-E) = -\inf E$$

Theorem

Let $E \subset \mathbf{R}$ be nonempty

(i) E has a supremum if and only if $-E$ has an infimum, in which case

$$\inf(-E) = -\sup E$$

(ii) E has an infimum if and only if $-E$ has a supremum, in which case

$$\sup(-E) = -\inf E$$

Theorem

Let $E \subset \mathbf{R}$ be nonempty

(i) E has a supremum if and only if $-E$ has an infimum, in which case

$$\inf(-E) = -\sup E$$

(ii) E has an infimum if and only if $-E$ has a supremum, in which case

$$\sup(-E) = -\inf E$$

Theorem

Let $E \subset \mathbf{R}$ be nonempty

(i) E has a supremum if and only if $-E$ has an infimum, in which case

$$\inf(-E) = -\sup E$$

(ii) E has an infimum if and only if $-E$ has a supremum, in which case

$$\sup(-E) = -\inf E$$

Theorem (Monotone Property)

Suppose that $A \subseteq B$ are nonempty subsets of \mathbb{R}

(i) If B has a supremum, then $\sup A \leq \sup B$

(ii) If B has an infimum, then $\inf A \geq \inf B$

Theorem (Monotone Property)

Suppose that $A \subseteq B$ are nonempty subsets of \mathbf{R}

(i) If B has a supremum, then $\sup A \leq \sup B$

(ii) If B has an infimum, then $\inf A \geq \inf B$

Theorem (Monotone Property)

Suppose that $A \subseteq B$ are nonempty subsets of \mathbf{R}

(i) If B has a supremum, then $\sup A \leq \sup B$

(ii) If B has an infimum, then $\inf A \geq \inf B$

Theorem (Monotone Property)

Suppose that $A \subseteq B$ are nonempty subsets of \mathbf{R}

(i) If B has a supremum, then $\sup A \leq \sup B$

(ii) If B has an infimum, then $\inf A \geq \inf B$

Thank you.