

Advanced Calculus (I)

WEN-CHING LIEN

Department of Mathematics
National Cheng Kung University

6.1 Introduction

Definition

Let $S = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ be an infinite series whose terms a_k belong to \mathbf{R}

(i)

The *partial sums of S of order n* are the numbers defined, for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, by

$$S_n := \sum_{k=1}^n a_k.$$

6.1 Introduction

Definition

Let $S = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ be an infinite series whose terms a_k belong to \mathbf{R}

(i)

The *partial sums of S of order n* are the numbers defined, for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, by

$$S_n := \sum_{k=1}^n a_k.$$

6.1 Introduction

Definition

Let $S = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ be an infinite series whose terms a_k belong to \mathbf{R}

(i)

The *partial sums of S of order n* are the numbers defined, for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, by

$$S_n := \sum_{k=1}^n a_k.$$

6.1 Introduction

Definition

Let $S = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ be an infinite series whose terms a_k belong to \mathbf{R}

(i)

The *partial sums of S of order n* are the numbers defined, for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, by

$$S_n := \sum_{k=1}^n a_k.$$

Definition

(ii)

S is said to *converge* if and only if its sequence of partial sums $\{s_n\}$ converges to some $s \in \mathbf{R}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; i.e., for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $n \geq N$ implies that $|s_n - s| < \epsilon$. In this case we shall write

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = s$$

and called s the *sum*, or *value*, of the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$

Definition

(ii)

S is said to *converge* if and only if its sequence of partial sums $\{s_n\}$ converges to some $s \in \mathbf{R}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; i.e., for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $n \geq N$ implies that $|s_n - s| < \epsilon$. In this case we shall write

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = s$$

and called s the *sum*, or *value*, of the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$

Definition

(ii)

S is said to *converge* if and only if its sequence of partial sums $\{s_n\}$ converges to some $s \in \mathbf{R}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; i.e., for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $n \geq N$ implies that $|s_n - s| < \epsilon$. In this case we shall write

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = s$$

and called s the *sum*, or *value*, of the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$

Definition

(iii)

S is said to *diverge* if and only if its sequence of partial sums $\{s_n\}$ does not converge as $n \rightarrow \infty$. When s_n diverges to $+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we shall also write

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = \infty.$$

Definition

(iii)

S is said to *diverge* if and only if its sequence of partial sums $\{s_n\}$ does not converge as $n \rightarrow \infty$. When s_n diverges to $+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we shall also write

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = \infty.$$

Definition

(iii)

S is said to *diverge* if and only if its sequence of partial sums $\{s_n\}$ does not converge as $n \rightarrow \infty$. When s_n diverges to $+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we shall also write

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = \infty.$$

Example: [Harmonic Series]

Prove that the sequence $\frac{1}{k}$ converges but the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}$ diverges to $+\infty$.

Example: [Harmonic Series]

Prove that the sequence $\frac{1}{k}$ converges but the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}$ diverges to $+\infty$.

Proof:

The sequence $1/k$ converges to zero (by Example 2.2). On the other hand, by the Comparison Theorem for Integrals,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \geq \sum_{k=1}^n \int_k^{k+1} \frac{1}{x} dx = \int_1^{n+1} \frac{1}{x} dx = \log(n+1).$$

We conclude that $s_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Proof:

The sequence $1/k$ converges to zero (by Example 2.2).
On the other hand, by the Comparison Theorem for Integrals,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \geq \sum_{k=1}^n \int_k^{k+1} \frac{1}{x} dx = \int_1^{n+1} \frac{1}{x} dx = \log(n+1).$$

We conclude that $s_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Proof:

The sequence $1/k$ converges to zero (by Example 2.2).
On the other hand, by the Comparison Theorem for Integrals,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \geq \sum_{k=1}^n \int_k^{k+1} \frac{1}{x} dx = \int_1^{n+1} \frac{1}{x} dx = \log(n+1).$$

We conclude that $s_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Proof:

The sequence $1/k$ converges to zero (by Example 2.2). On the other hand, by the Comparison Theorem for Integrals,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \geq \sum_{k=1}^n \int_k^{k+1} \frac{1}{x} dx = \int_1^{n+1} \frac{1}{x} dx = \log(n+1).$$

We conclude that $s_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Proof:

The sequence $1/k$ converges to zero (by Example 2.2).
On the other hand, by the Comparison Theorem for Integrals,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \geq \sum_{k=1}^n \int_k^{k+1} \frac{1}{x} dx = \int_1^{n+1} \frac{1}{x} dx = \log(n+1).$$

We conclude that $s_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Theorem (Divergence Test)

Let $\{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of real numbers. If a_k does not converge to zero, then the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ diverges.

Theorem (Divergence Test)

Let $\{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$ be a sequence of real numbers. If a_k does not converge to zero, then the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ diverges.

Proof:

Suppose to the contrary that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ converges to some $s \in \mathbf{R}$. By definition, the sequence of partial sums $s_n := \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$ converges to s as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $a_k = s_k - s_{k-1} \rightarrow s - s = 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, a contradiction. \square

Proof:

Suppose to the contrary that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ converges to some

$s \in \mathbf{R}$. By definition, the sequence of partial sums

$s_n := \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$ converges to s as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore,

$a_k = s_k - s_{k-1} \rightarrow s - s = 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, a contradiction. \square

Proof:

Suppose to the contrary that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ converges to some $s \in \mathbf{R}$. By definition, the sequence of partial sums

$s_n := \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$ converges to s as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore,

$a_k = s_k - s_{k-1} \rightarrow s - s = 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, a contradiction. \square

Proof:

Suppose to the contrary that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ converges to some $s \in \mathbf{R}$. By definition, the sequence of partial sums

$s_n := \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$ converges to s as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore,

$a_k = s_k - s_{k-1} \rightarrow s - s = 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, a contradiction. \square

Proof:

Suppose to the contrary that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ converges to some $s \in \mathbf{R}$. By definition, the sequence of partial sums

$s_n := \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$ converges to s as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore,

$a_k = s_k - s_{k-1} \rightarrow s - s = 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, a contradiction. \square

Proof:

Suppose to the contrary that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ converges to some $s \in \mathbf{R}$. By definition, the sequence of partial sums

$s_n := \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$ converges to s as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore,

$a_k = s_k - s_{k-1} \rightarrow s - s = 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, a contradiction. \square

Proof:

Suppose to the contrary that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ converges to some $s \in \mathbf{R}$. By definition, the sequence of partial sums

$s_n := \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$ converges to s as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore,

$a_k = s_k - s_{k-1} \rightarrow s - s = 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, a contradiction. \square

Theorem (Telescopic Test)

If $\{a_k\}$ is a convergent real sequence, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k - a_{k+1}) = a_1 - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k.$$

Theorem (Telescopic Test)

If $\{a_k\}$ is a convergent real sequence, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k - a_{k+1}) = a_1 - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k.$$

Proof:

By telescoping, we have

$$s_n := \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k - a_{k+1}) = a_1 - a_{n+1}.$$

Hence $s_n \rightarrow a_1 - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Proof:

By telescoping, we have

$$s_n := \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k - a_{k+1}) = a_1 - a_{n+1}.$$

Hence $s_n \rightarrow a_1 - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Proof:

By telescoping, we have

$$s_n := \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k - a_{k+1}) = a_1 - a_{n+1}.$$

Hence $s_n \rightarrow a_1 - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Proof:

By telescoping, we have

$$s_n := \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k - a_{k+1}) = a_1 - a_{n+1}.$$

Hence $s_n \rightarrow a_1 - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Theorem (Geometric Series)

The series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^k$ converges if and only if $|x| < 1$, in which case

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^k = \frac{x}{1-x}.$$

(see also Exercise 1.)

Theorem (Geometric Series)

The series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^k$ converges if and only if $|x| < 1$, in which case

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^k = \frac{x}{1-x}.$$

(see also Exercise 1.)

Proof:

If $|x| \geq 1$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^k$ diverges by the Divergence Test. If

$|x| < 1$, then set $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n x^k$ and observe by the telescoping that

$$\begin{aligned}(1-x)S_n &= (1-x)(x + x^2 + \cdots + x^n) \\ &= x + x^2 + \cdots + x^n - x^2 - x^3 - \cdots - x^{n+1} \\ &= x - x^{n+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

If $|x| \geq 1$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^k$ diverges by the Divergence Test. If

$|x| < 1$, then set $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n x^k$ and observe by the telescoping that

$$\begin{aligned}(1-x)S_n &= (1-x)(x + x^2 + \cdots + x^n) \\ &= x + x^2 + \cdots + x^n - x^2 - x^3 - \cdots - x^{n+1} \\ &= x - x^{n+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

If $|x| \geq 1$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^k$ diverges by the Divergence Test. If

$|x| < 1$, then set $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n x^k$ and observe by the telescoping that

$$\begin{aligned}(1-x)S_n &= (1-x)(x + x^2 + \cdots + x^n) \\ &= x + x^2 + \cdots + x^n - x^2 - x^3 - \cdots - x^{n+1} \\ &= x - x^{n+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

If $|x| \geq 1$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^k$ diverges by the Divergence Test. If

$|x| < 1$, then set $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n x^k$ and observe by the telescoping that

$$\begin{aligned}(1-x)S_n &= (1-x)(x + x^2 + \cdots + x^n) \\ &= x + x^2 + \cdots + x^n - x^2 - x^3 - \cdots - x^{n+1} \\ &= x - x^{n+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

If $|x| \geq 1$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^k$ diverges by the Divergence Test. If

$|x| < 1$, then set $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n x^k$ and observe by the telescoping that

$$\begin{aligned}(1-x)S_n &= (1-x)(x + x^2 + \cdots + x^n) \\ &= x + x^2 + \cdots + x^n - x^2 - x^3 - \cdots - x^{n+1} \\ &= x - x^{n+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

If $|x| \geq 1$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^k$ diverges by the Divergence Test. If

$|x| < 1$, then set $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n x^k$ and observe by the telescoping that

$$\begin{aligned}(1-x)S_n &= (1-x)(x + x^2 + \cdots + x^n) \\ &= x + x^2 + \cdots + x^n - x^2 - x^3 - \cdots - x^{n+1} \\ &= x - x^{n+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

If $|x| \geq 1$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^k$ diverges by the Divergence Test. If

$|x| < 1$, then set $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n x^k$ and observe by the telescoping that

$$\begin{aligned}(1-x)S_n &= (1-x)(x + x^2 + \cdots + x^n) \\ &= x + x^2 + \cdots + x^n - x^2 - x^3 - \cdots - x^{n+1} \\ &= x - x^{n+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

If $|x| \geq 1$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^k$ diverges by the Divergence Test. If

$|x| < 1$, then set $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n x^k$ and observe by the telescoping that

$$\begin{aligned}(1-x)S_n &= (1-x)(x + x^2 + \cdots + x^n) \\ &= x + x^2 + \cdots + x^n - x^2 - x^3 - \cdots - x^{n+1} \\ &= x - x^{n+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

If $|x| \geq 1$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^k$ diverges by the Divergence Test. If

$|x| < 1$, then set $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n x^k$ and observe by the telescoping that

$$\begin{aligned}(1-x)S_n &= (1-x)(x + x^2 + \cdots + x^n) \\ &= x + x^2 + \cdots + x^n - x^2 - x^3 - \cdots - x^{n+1} \\ &= x - x^{n+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$s_n = \frac{x}{1-x} - \frac{x^{n+1}}{1-x}$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Since $x^{n+1} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $|x| < 1$ (see Example 2.20), we conclude that $s_n \rightarrow \frac{x}{(1-x)}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

□

Hence,

$$s_n = \frac{x}{1-x} - \frac{x^{n+1}}{1-x}$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Since $x^{n+1} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $|x| < 1$ (see Example 2.20), we conclude that $s_n \rightarrow \frac{x}{(1-x)}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

□

Hence,

$$s_n = \frac{x}{1-x} - \frac{x^{n+1}}{1-x}$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Since $x^{n+1} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $|x| < 1$ (see Example 2.20), we conclude that $s_n \rightarrow \frac{x}{(1-x)}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

□

Hence,

$$s_n = \frac{x}{1-x} - \frac{x^{n+1}}{1-x}$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Since $x^{n+1} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $|x| < 1$ (see Example 2.20), we conclude that $s_n \rightarrow \frac{x}{(1-x)}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

□

Hence,

$$s_n = \frac{x}{1-x} - \frac{x^{n+1}}{1-x}$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Since $x^{n+1} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $|x| < 1$ (see Example 2.20), we conclude that $s_n \rightarrow \frac{x}{(1-x)}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

□

Theorem (Cauchy Criterion)

Let $\{a_k\}$ be a real sequence. Then the infinite series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ converges if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$m > n \geq N \text{ imply } \left| \sum_{k=n}^m a_k \right| < \epsilon.$$

Theorem (Cauchy Criterion)

Let $\{a_k\}$ be a real sequence. Then the infinite series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ converges if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$m > n \geq N \text{ imply } \left| \sum_{k=n}^m a_k \right| < \epsilon.$$

Proof:

Let s_n represent the sequence of partial sums of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ and set $s_0 = 0$. By Cauchy's Theorem (Theorem 2.29), s_n converges if and only if given $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $m, n \geq N$ imply $|s_m - s_{n-1}| < \epsilon$. Since

$$s_m - s_{n-1} = \sum_{k=n}^m a_k$$

for all integers $m > n \geq 1$, the proof is complete. \square

Proof:

Let s_n represent the sequence of partial sums of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ and set $s_0 = 0$. By Cauchy's Theorem (Theorem 2.29), s_n converges if and only if given $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $m, n \geq N$ imply $|s_m - s_{n-1}| < \epsilon$. Since

$$s_m - s_{n-1} = \sum_{k=n}^m a_k$$

for all integers $m > n \geq 1$, the proof is complete. \square

Proof:

Let s_n represent the sequence of partial sums of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ and set $s_0 = 0$. By Cauchy's Theorem (Theorem 2.29), s_n converges if and only if given $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $m, n \geq N$ imply $|s_m - s_{n-1}| < \epsilon$. Since

$$s_m - s_{n-1} = \sum_{k=n}^m a_k$$

for all integers $m > n \geq 1$, the proof is complete. \square

Proof:

Let s_n represent the sequence of partial sums of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ and set $s_0 = 0$. By Cauchy's Theorem (Theorem 2.29), s_n converges if and only if given $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $m, n \geq N$ imply $|s_m - s_{n-1}| < \epsilon$. Since

$$s_m - s_{n-1} = \sum_{k=n}^m a_k$$

for all integers $m > n \geq 1$, the proof is complete. \square

Proof:

Let s_n represent the sequence of partial sums of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ and set $s_0 = 0$. By Cauchy's Theorem (Theorem 2.29), s_n converges if and only if given $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $m, n \geq N$ imply $|s_m - s_{n-1}| < \epsilon$. Since

$$s_m - s_{n-1} = \sum_{k=n}^m a_k$$

for all integers $m > n \geq 1$, the proof is complete. \square

Proof:

Let s_n represent the sequence of partial sums of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ and set $s_0 = 0$. By Cauchy's Theorem (Theorem 2.29), s_n converges if and only if given $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $m, n \geq N$ imply $|s_m - s_{n-1}| < \epsilon$. Since

$$s_m - s_{n-1} = \sum_{k=n}^m a_k$$

for all integers $m > n \geq 1$, the proof is complete. \square

Proof:

Let s_n represent the sequence of partial sums of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ and set $s_0 = 0$. By Cauchy's Theorem (Theorem 2.29), s_n converges if and only if given $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $m, n \geq N$ imply $|s_m - s_{n-1}| < \epsilon$. Since

$$s_m - s_{n-1} = \sum_{k=n}^m a_k$$

for all integers $m > n \geq 1$, the proof is complete. \square

Theorem

Let $\{a_k\}$ and $\{b_k\}$ be real sequences. If $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$ are convergent series, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k + b_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\alpha a_k) = \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$.

Theorem

Let $\{a_k\}$ and $\{b_k\}$ be real sequences. If $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$ are convergent series, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k + b_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\alpha a_k) = \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$.

Theorem

Let $\{a_k\}$ and $\{b_k\}$ be real sequences. If $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$ are convergent series, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k + b_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\alpha a_k) = \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$.

Example:

$$(1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k+1)}$$

$$(2) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^k$$

Example:

$$(1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k+1)}$$

$$(2) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^k$$

Example:

$$(1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k+1)}$$

$$(2) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^k$$

Thank you.